

#14

Interview SummaryApplication No.
09/891,823Applicant(s)
Neefe et alExaminer
A. R. SALMIArt Unit
1648

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) A. R. SALMI

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Brennan

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 3, 2003Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: ALL

Identification of prior art discussed:

Mizzen et al (WO 99/07860)Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants' representative contacted the examiner to discuss the Office Action mailed on 10/16/2002. Mr. Brennan emphasized that the word "wart" is not taught in the various art cited by the Office. Examiner indicated that warts are caused because the papillomavirus is present and the warts are caused by the papillomavirus, and the prior art cited by the Office taught how to treat papillomavirus infection which warts are included as part of that treatment. The Office indicated to Mr. Brennan that warts are caused by papillomaviruses and the reverse does not hold true. In other words the warts do not cause papillomavirus. Mr. Brennan concluded by saying that he needs to discuss the claims with his clients and will argue the rejections raised in the Office action point by point in the future correspondence.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required